## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s) ROTHSCHILD ET AL.	
	10/735,511		
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul A. D'Agostino	3714	

	Paul A. D'Agostino	3714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing     The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TW		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the polition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period to detension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period to detension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension feel to set the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration clade of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (a) above. If checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely file may reduce any seamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4.137 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
AMENDMENTS					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);			
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).		
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ol>		imali, filed emendmen	et aanaalina tha		
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii submilled iii a separale, l	intely filed afficilities	it canceling the		
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [         how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov     </li> </ol>		be entered and an ex	planation of		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
/John M Hotaling II/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The hands depicted in Olsen indicate bonus game eligibility (Col. 6 Lines 33-63) and once a player is eligible it is reasonable to assume there is a probability or himing the bonus game. Examiner disagrees with Applicant's arguments that these hands eligibility and/or certainty but is all cases not a probability. Further, Applicant argues that the hands of Olsen do not terminate. Examiner disagrees. If the player fails to achieve "catching the potato" the round terminates and so do the hands, without the eavard of a bonus. Applicant asserts but does not claim the termination occurs during play of a single bonus game in the bonus round and the indicator is terminated before the ending of said single game. Thus, the rejection of the claims is maintained.